

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,918		03/31/2004	Frederick Thomas David Goldie	34-126	5701
23117	7590	07/28/2004		EXAM	INER
		ERHYE, PC	POKER, JENNIFER A		
1100 N GLI 8TH FLOO		AD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/812,918	GOLDIE, FREDERICK THOMAS DAVID	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer A. Poker	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions or after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stated to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejunication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	b)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal matte	-	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 200 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to	$\underline{4}$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objetion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received. documents have been received in Apost the priority documents have been real Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	" 		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

B

Application/Control Number: 10/812,918 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a first action on the merits of application filed on March 31, 2004. Claims 1-6 are pending and are being examined.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is uncertain what applicant is stating in the second line. Applicant states, "...is would so that..." Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,918

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is currently dependent upon itself. Appropriate correction is required. For examination purposes, the claim was examined as if dependent upon claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant first claims that each of the plurality of layers has "n" turns. Then applicant

proceeds to claim that the coils have layers having fewer than n turns. Applicant must clarify or correct the claim. For examination purposes, Examiner understood that the layers may or may not have the same number of turns. Prior art was applied accordingly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,181,232 to Kitamura.

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Kitamura discloses in the prior art, a coil element comprising:

- (1) a plurality of coil layers (4, 5) wherein the coils have a plurality of turns (figure 5);
- (2) insulating layers (6a, 6b) separating the coil layers (4, 5) (figure 5).

Regarding claim 2, Kitamura further discloses that the insulating layers (6a, 6b) may be glass (column 5, line 2).

Regarding claim 3, Kitamura further discloses that although two coil patterns (4 and 5) are used in the preferred embodiment, three coil patterns or more may be deposited with insulating layers therebetween. Also, the number of turns of the coil patterns (4 and 5) is not limited to a specified number as long as it is 1 or more (column 7, lines 43-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/812,918 Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

invention was made.

10. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent

Number 6,181,232 to Kitamura in view of Applicant's Own Admitted Prior Art.

Kitamura discloses the claimed invention except for the coil being a shim coil for an MRIS

apparatus.

Applicant admits on page 1 of the disclosure that using shim coils is a known method for

counteracting distortion of a magnetic field.

One skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to

combine the teachings of Kitamura with Applicant's Own Admitted Prior art and use a shim coil in

order to counteract distortion of magnetic fields.

Furthermore, with regards to the intended use of the coil for an MRIS apparatus, it has been

held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be

employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the

claimed structural imitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/812,918

Art Unit: 2832

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jennifer A. Poker whose telephone number is 571-272-1997. The examiner

can normally be reached on 5:00-3:30 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Elvin G. Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 22, 2004

Trylu Nguylu PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 2832